

improving feed utilization.

Ivy et al, U.S. Patent 4,933,364, discusses an alternative process for promoting growth and feed efficiency of food producing mammals. ^{T. Ray} [they] propose the use of zinc antibiotic that can be added in insoluble form to create a zinc antibiotic complex which enhances feed efficiency of food producing mammals. They reference two U.S. Patents, 3,501,568 and ^{3,794,732} [3,797,32], that cover monensin in great detail.

Other references on the use of additives such as monensin have mentioned the need for wise application of these materials because they can be toxic to some animals such as horses. These antibiotics, which are not approved for use in dairy cows, must be administered carefully. In addition, feed intake is initially reduced and monensin can not be added to molasses based supplements which are classic additives to cattle feeds. (Pate, F., "Ionophores Do Not Appear To Work In Molasses Supplements", ONA Reports, November, 1996, 2 pages, Florida Cattleman and Livestock Journal. Lona, R.P. ^{et} [et] al, J. Anim. Sci. 75(1)): 2571-2579, 1979.)

Polson, U.S. Patent 4,550,019, is directed to the manufacture and use of fowl egg yolk antibodies for making immunological preparations for the passive immunization of animals, including humans, as immuno reagents for ^{IMMUNOSORBITIVE} [immunosorbitive] processes and in particular for quantitative analytical tests, especially micro assays for diagnostic, pathological, forensic and pharmacokinetic investigations.

Stolle et al, U.S. Patent 4,748,018 is directed to a method of passive immunization of mammals using avian egg yolk antibody against any of a variety of antigens using various methods of administration under various conditions and using various compositions incorporating the antibody, after first developing in the mammal a tolerance for the antibody.

Tokoro, U.S. Patent 5,080,895 is directed to a specific antibody containing substance

this bacterium. The invention is described with particular reference to elimination of illnesses caused by E. coli 0157:H7, but it is to be understood that the invention is not so limited, but is equally applicable to elimination of illnesses caused by other colony-forming immunogens and haptens.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention is based on the concept of specifically inhibiting the ability of colony-forming protein-wasting organisms, such as P. anaerobius, C. sticklandii and C. aminophilum, and colony forming disease-causing organisms, such as E. coli 0157:H7, Listeria, Salmonella and Campylobacter, to adhere in the rumen or intestinal tract of food animals and thus reduce their ability to multiply, grow and colonize. Dietary modifications may be designed to make the rumen and intestinal tract less receptive to the organisms over the lifetime of the animal. While the microbial inhibitor of the present invention may be administered at will by the producer, it is preferred for efficient animal feed utilization that a carefully determined and managed course of administration during the finishing period at the feedlot level be scheduled and followed. Such a predetermined period which takes advantage of the low dose, longer cumulative effect of the inhibitor and which is also easily integratable into current production practices will provide the most economically attractive rate of return through improved animal performance.

For the elimination of disease-causing organisms the inhibitor may be administered either immediately pre-slaughter or over some substantial period of the lifetime of the animal. It is preferred that a carefully determined and managed mid-term period course of administration at the feedlot level be followed. As described, a set pre-slaughter period takes advantage of the low dose, longer cumulative effect, [it] is easily integratable into current production practices and

is the most economical. It also allows the microorganism to naturally disappear from the mud and manure on the outside of the animal, a significant source of potential contamination at slaughter. Under the current feeding system, food animal feed efficiency is enhanced through the use of ionophores such as monensin, a feed additive marketed under the trade name Rumensin. These ^{ARE A} [area] class of polyester antibiotics approved for feed given to beef cattle and dairy heifers but not approved for use with lactating dairy cows. Most gram-positive organisms are non-specifically vulnerable to the ionophores, antibiotics which can also be quite toxic to the host animal if used improperly. As these antibiotics are not specific, many of the ruminal organisms required to digest the cellulose of ingested plant material may also be affected. The problem with carry over and the development of drug resistant strains of organisms are also major concern to the industry. The use of broad spectrum antibiotics has further drawbacks including vulnerability to human error, additional cost, consumer resistance and the like. In addition, the monensin type additive can not be administered with commonly used molasses based supplements.

Any organism that colonizes ⁱⁿ the rumen or alimentary tract of its host must possess the capability of sticking or adhering to that surface in order to multiply and grow. The specific organisms addressed by this invention are no exception to the rule. As other factors such as the need of beneficial organisms for specific enzymes must also be considered, specific reagents are required to reduce the number of targeted organisms in the rumen or intestinal tract while not interfering with other normal flora. The organism inhibitor of this invention strongly interferes with adherence in a highly specific manner and, on a cumulative basis, thereby prevents the targeted organisms ^{from} [form] multiplying, growing and colonizing. Through the vehicle of a simple daily feed supplement, the product essentially supplies the host with an antibody preparation

designed not to cure any disease in the animal but to specifically dislodge any resident bacteria in the rumen or alimentary tract and to prevent attachment of any newly introduced numbers ^{of} [of] that same bacteria. The microbial inhibitor has no direct effect whatsoever on the ultimate food products and leaves absolutely no undesirable residue in the animal or in the ultimate food products. In addition, since the deleterious organisms are prevented from multiplying; they will over time, for example the 120-day finishing period in the feedlot, disappear through natural degradation from the feedlot environment helping to eliminate that significant potential source of recontamination. The inhibitor product itself can be classified as a natural material of animal origin and as such can be used in almost any kind of feeding program. As the active ingredients are completely natural, they will work well with most feeds and feed additives including molasses based supplements.

All mammals and birds provide similar types of protection which allow for an immediate [immune] response in their very young offspring until they too acquire the ability to make the antibodies for themselves. More specifically called passive antibody protection, this defense mechanism is passed to the young of mammals through the placenta, the mother's milk or through both. The young of birds, however, receive their passive antibody protection through the store of antibodies placed in the eggs in which they develop from the embryonic stage. Birds, in particular, have the ability to "load up" their eggs as they are formed, with a very large supply of antibodies concentrated many fold over that which is present in the serum of the mother. In addition, avian antibodies are much more stable and resistant to inactivation through digestion than mammalian antibodies, especially under adverse conditions. Once immunized the hen layers the unique IgY types immunoglobulins in the yolk while depositing the common chicken IgM and IgA immunoglobulins in the albumin. The albumin helps

resistance to the whole egg preparations and helps protect the avian antibodies. Furthermore, the large quantities of antibodies which are placed in eggs are much more exclusively those specific for the antigens to which the mother has most recently been exposed to and challenged by. This all results in the eggs of birds being a most ideal source for large quantities of economically produced, highly specific and stable antibodies. While the invention is illustrated by the use of chickens to produce avian antibody, other fowl including turkeys, ducks, geese, etc. may be used.

Specifically, groups are obtained of young hen chickens typically Rhode Island Reds, white leghorns, sex-linked hybrid crosses or other breeds suited to large egg size, high volume egg production and ease of handling which are about to reach laying age, about 19 weeks for chickens, on a schedule predetermined by the amount and timing of final product desired resulting in a steady continuous production stream. After a suitable period of isolation and acclimatization of about 2 to 4 weeks, each group will enter into an inoculation program using rehydrated proprietary preparations of specific antigens to which an antibody is desired. The antigens may be obtained from commercial sources such as the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The antigen may be injected intra-muscularly, but preferably injected subcutaneously. In approximately four to five weeks, the average egg collected will contain copious amounts of the desired specific antibody in a readily usable and stable form. The chickens may be reinoculated with the targeted antigen throughout the egg laying period to maintain the high antibody level.

Batches of eggs from predetermined groups of chickens are cracked, the contents are separated from the shells and mixed and preferably pasteurized (to eliminate potential pathogenic microorganism from the chicken and thus reduce potential contamination of feed).

The total egg content is dried using standard commercial methods, such as spray drying using ambient or hot air up to 50°C. and tested to determine overall titer or antibody level. The egg contents may be dried alone or on innocuous feed extenders such as dry soy or rice husks or the like. Standard test procedures are used, such as ELISA, or agglutination, or the like. The typical batch is then blended with batches from groups of chickens at other average production levels resulting in a lot of standardized active ingredient. The dried egg antibody microbial inhibitor material may be stored and shipped on carrier materials such as soy bean hulls, boluses and/or tablets. Dependent on the needs and specifications of the feed formulator and the final customer, the final antibody product may include some type of innocuous additive, such as dried whey or dried soy protein powder, dried soy or rice husks or the like for formulation with feed ration. One egg produced and processed by the above procedures will yield a product sufficiently active and stable to provide at least as many as 350 to 700 daily doses of managed protection against specific microbial colonization. This method provides for the first time, an economical, safe and effective means for controlling feed efficiency organisms in beef cattle and dairy herds, and an economical, safe and effective means for controlling E. coli 0157:H7 and other illness-causing organisms in cattle herds.

The present invention specifically addresses feed efficiency as it relates to beef cattle, and by extension dairy cattle and dairy herds, and to the problem of eliminating illness-causing organisms from cattle. However, the concept of preventing microbial adherence has great economic potential for a number of diverse food safety and production ^{Applications} [application]. One such field of application is in feed and water targeting specific undesirable microorganisms. An example of this application would include products to actively inhibit pathogenic or even spoilage microorganisms in animal feed formulated for chickens and other poultry. Another

were used for the immunization schedules. The ease of handling the animals and the size and uniformity of the eggs along with the number of eggs laid per hen per year were observed.

Although any avian egg laying hen could be used, for cost and ease of use these chickens proved to work the best. The Red Sex ^{- Linked} ~~linked~~ ^{Hybrids} gave the most uniformity and greater number of eggs per animal. These animals produce a large to ex-large grade of egg (50-65 gm) and up to 300 eggs a year per hen.

Example 2: Preparation of Stock Culture

The American Type Culture Collection E. coli 0157:H7 Stock #43895 was used as the model bacterium. The organism was isolated from raw hamburger and colonizes ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ cattle. The ATCC Method for rehydration of the stock was followed. The bacterium is rehydrated in 1.0ml of TSB Broth (Tryptase Soy Broth, Becton Dickinson), transferred to 5ml of TSB sterile broth and incubated overnight (approx. 18 hrs) at 37°C . Nice turbid growth was observed. This is used as stock as needed. It was streaked on Sorbitol-MacConkey Agar (Difco) for verification of colony production.

Antigens

Example 3: Preparation of H ~~antigens~~ for Immunogens

The H antigens were selected for development into an immunogen for immunizing the egg laying hens. Certain conditions are used to maintain the optimum growth of the H antigen during culturing to give added concentrations for the prep. Veal Infusion Agar (VIS) and Veal Infusion Broth (VIB, Becton Dickinson) is preferred for H ~~antigen~~ production. Stock TSB ^{inoculated with} ~~innoculate~~ VIB is incubated at $22^{\circ}\text{--}24^{\circ}\text{C}$ or room temperature for 18 ^{hours} ~~hr~~. This stimulates flagella development on the bacteria. Flasks layered with VIA are inoculated with VIB culture. Good growth was seen after 22 hours. The product was harvested after 4 days. Flasks are combined by washing off the agar surface with Dulbecco's PBS solution (pH 7.3-7.4). The product is

collected in tubes. Density is checked using ^{SPECTROPHOTOMETER} [spectrophotometric] enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. Approximately 3×10^{12} /ml in Stock. Motility is checked with ^{motility} [Motility] agar slant (Northeast Laboratory Services). Stock is diluted to concentration of approx. 1×10^9 per ml in PBS and stirred for 1 hr at room temperature. The ^{FLAGELLA} [Flagella] is removed from the outside of the bacteria. Supernatant is collected using centrifugation. Pellet of whole bacteria is separated from the supernatant. Dry weight approx. 14.7mg/ml is determined and the material is used as ^{Stock immunogen} [Stock Immunogen] for H antigen. It is diluted to 1 mg/ml in PBS and heated for 30 minutes at $60^\circ - 70^\circ$ C. This helps keep contamination down to a minimum. Thioglycollate broth is inoculated to check for growth and animals are inoculated with immunogen.

Example 4: Preparation of O Antigen for Immunogens

Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, acumedia) is used to stimulate the O antigens on the bacterium. Stock TSB inoculate BHI Broth is formed and incubated at 37° C for 18 hrs. This stimulates somatic antigen development on the bacteria. Flasks containing BHI Broth are inoculated with BHI Broth culture. While stirring slowly, flasks are incubated at 37° C. Good growth is seen after 22 hours. Flasks are combined and the material is harvested using centrifugation and sterile saline (0.9%) at approx. 3000rpm for 30 minutes. The harvest is collected in tubes. Density is checked using ^{SPECTROPHOTOMETER} [spectrophotometric] enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. The material is diluted to approximately 1×10^9 per ml. 4% sodium deoxycholate (Difco) solution is added as a 1:1 ratio with culture in 0.9% sterile saline (Herzberg, 1972) and stirred for approx. 18 hrs at room temperature (22° to 24° C). The material is centrifuged to remove whole cells. Supernatant is used as stock for O antigen. Dry weight is determined at approximately 14.9mg/ml. ^{The} [the] product is diluted in sterile PBS, pH 7.4 to 1mg/ml for O Immunogen.

Example 5: Preparation of WC Antigen for Immunogens

Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Northeast Laboratory Services) plus Yeast Extract (BBL) is used for Whole Cell (WC) ^{antigen production} [Antigen Production]. TSB plus Yeast Extract 0.6% Broth is inoculated from TSB Stock and incubated at 37°C for 18 hrs. This stimulates somatic and other surface antigens to development on the bacteria. Flasks are inoculated with TSB with Yeast Extract Broth. While stirring slowly ² it is incubated at 37°C. Good growth is seen after 22 hours. The flasks are combined and the product is harvested using centrifugation at approx. 3000 rpm for 30 ^{minutes} [minutes] and collected in tubes. The product is resuspended in sterile PBS, pH 7.4. Density is checked using ^{spectrophotometer} [spectrophotometric] enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. Dry weight is approximately 19.7mg/ml. The product is diluted to approximately 2×10^9 per ml or 2mg/ml dry weight, and 0.6% formaldehyde solution in PBS is added as a 1:1 ^{ratio} [ration] with culture and stirred for approx. 18 hrs at RT (22-24°C) to fix cells. Thioglycollate broth is inoculated to check for growth and pH of preparation (pH 7-7.4) is checked. ^{the} [the] supernatant is used as ^{stock} for WC antigen. The stock is diluted in PBS, pH 7.4 to 1mg/ml for WC immunogen.

Example 6: Preparation of A ^{Antigen} [antigen] for Immunogen

The Minca Medium is used for A ^{antigen production} [Antigen Production]. It is a standard medium for stimulating the pili and related adherin antigens. Stock TSB Minca Medium Broth (Inf. Immun., Feb. 1977, 676-678) is inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 18 hrs. This stimulated adhesion antigen development on the bacteria. Flasks are inoculated with Minca Medium Broth and while stirring slowly is incubated at 37°C. Good growth is seen after 18 hours. The flasks are combined and the product is harvested using centrifugation at approx. 2500 rpm for 30 minutes and collected in tubes. The pellet is resuspended in PBS and stirred with a stir bar for 1

hour at 22-24°C (RT). This removes the flagella. The product is collected in tubes and the pellet is resuspended in PBS and 0.01% Tween 20™, transferred to Waring Blender in cold (4°C) at low speed for 30 minutes. Density is checked using ^{SPECTROPHOTOMETER} spectrophotometric enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. The product is centrifuged to remove whole cells. ^{the} supernatant is used as stock for A antigen. It may be heated at 60°C for 40 ^{minutes} [min.] to inactivate if needed.

Gentamycin is added at 50 µ/ml as preservative. Thioglycollate broth is inoculated to check for growth. Dry weight is determined at approximately 10.6 mg/ml. The product is diluted with PBS, pH 7.4 to 1 mg/ml for A ^{IMMUNOGEN} [Antigen] Immunogen.

Example 7: Preparation of P ^{Antigen} [antigen] for Immunogen

The Reinforced Clostridial Medium is used for P antigen ^{PRODUCTION} [Production]. It is a standard medium for stimulating adherence antigens for *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius*. These cultures must be grown under strict anaerobic conditions. The stock culture is grown according to ATCC for #49031. As with other organisms, subcultures ^{are} [and] grown in small amounts. Thioglycollate Media (Difco) is inoculated with the stock and incubated for 48 hrs. Flasks are inoculated with Reinforced Clostridial Medium Broth. The medium is covered with a mixture of anaerobic gas. Flasks are combined and the product is harvested using centrifugation at approximately 2500 rpm for 30 minutes, collected in tubes and run at low speed for 30 minutes. Density is checked. The product is centrifuged to remove whole cells. ^{the} supernatant is used as stock for P antigen. It is heated at 60°C for 40 ^{minutes} [min.] to inactivate if needed. Dry weight is determined. Approximately 20.5 mg/ml. The product is diluted with PBS, pH 7.4 to 1 mg/ml for P ^{IMMUNOGEN} [Immunogen] immunogen.

Example 8: Preparation of CS ^{ANTIGEN} [antigen] for Immunogen

The Reinforced Clostridial Medium is used for CS ^{ANTIGEN PRODUCTION} [Antigen Production]. It is a standard medium for stimulating adherence antigens for *Clostridium sticklandii*. These cultures must be

grown under strict anaerobic conditions. The stock culture is grown according to ATCC for #12662. As with other organisms, subcultures are grown in small amounts. Thioglycollate Media (Difco) is inoculated with the stock and incubated for 48 hrs. Flasks are inoculated with Reinforced Clostridial Medium Broth. The medium is covered with a mixture of anaerobic gas. Flasks are combined and the product is harvested using centrifugation at approximately 2500 rpm for 30 minutes. The product is collected in tubes and spun at low speed for 30 minutes. Density is checked using SPECTROPHOTOMETER [spectrophotometric] enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. The product is centrifuged to remove whole cells. The supernatant is used as stock for CS antigen. It is heated at 60°C for 40 minutes [min.] to inactivate if needed. Dry weight is determined at approximately 22mg/ml. The product is diluted with PBS, pH 7.4 to 1mg/ml for CS Immunogen [Immunogen].

Example 9: Preparation of CA Antigen [antigen] for Immunogen

The Reinforced Clostridial Medium is used for CA Antigen Production [Antigen Production]. It is a standard medium for stimulating adherence antigens for *Clostridium aminophilus*. These cultures must be grown under strict anaerobic conditions. The stock culture is grown according to ATCC for #49906. As with other organisms, subcultures are grown in small amounts. Thioglycollate Media (Difco) is inoculated with the stock and incubated for 48 hrs. Flasks are inoculated with Reinforced Clostridial Medium Broth. The medium is covered with a mixture of anaerobic gas. Flasks are combined and the product is harvested using centrifugation at approx 2500 rpm for 30 minutes. The product is collected in tubes and spun at low speed for 30 minutes. Density is checked using spectrophotometer enumeration and McFarland nephelometer standards. The product is centrifuged to remove whole cells. The supernatant is used as stock for CA antigen. It is heated at 60°C for 40 minutes [min.] to inactivate if needed. Dry weight is determined at

approximately 20.5mg/ml. The product is diluted with PBS, pH 7.4 to 1mg/ml for CA

[Immunogen] immunogen

Example 10: Preparation of ELISA Plates using H, O, WC and A Antigens for
Monitoring Antibodies in Eggs, Chickens and feed.

H, O, WC and A ELISA: Ninety six well assay plate (flat bottom Costar®) were coated using 100µl/ml with various concentration of antigens (H, A, O, or WC or combination: 10µg-200µg/ml) in carbonate buffer, pH 9.6. Plates were incubated between 22^o-37^oC for up to 18 hrs. The wells were aspirated to prevent cross-contamination. The plates were blocked with 390µl/well of 0.5% BSA and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. Plates were coated using alternative rows of positive or negative for controls. Plates are rinsed 1X with wash buffer containing TweenTM20. One hundred microliters per well of diluted sample are added to wells in duplicate wells. and incubated [Incubated] at 37°C for one hour. Goat anti-Chicken IgG conjugate with Horseradish peroxidase (Kirkegard and Perry Laboratories [laboratories] 1:1000-1:3000) was added. After 1 hr incubation, the substrate (TMB, KPL) was added according to manufacturer's instructions and the reaction is stopped after 10 minutes with 0.1M phosphoric acid. Optical densities of the wells were determined in Dynatech ELISA Reader at 450nm and the information was recorded for further data analysis.

Example 11: Analysis of Individual Eggs and Serum Over Time

Eggs were selected at various periods in the immunization period for monitoring antibody responses to the specific antigens. Selected chickens were monitored at day 0 and continued on a monthly basis after the 4th month. The whole egg was collected from the shell and then a 1 ml sample was taken. This sample was then extracted with buffer to ANALYZE [analysis] the antibody content. The standard ELISAs for the H, O, WC and A immunogens [immunogens] were used for ANALYSIS [analyse]. The negative readings were subtracted from the OD readings. Serum samples were collected from each animal two weeks after the fourth immunogen injection.

Example 13: Immunization of Chicken with H Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, 3 White Leghorn^S and 3 Rhode Island Red^S approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock H^{immunogen} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug was given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, 4 out of the 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs. ELISA H readings averaged 1.00 OD for 1:10,000 dilution and 0.265OD for 1:50,000. Leghorn^{hens} [hen^S] did not do as well but all 3 Rhode Island^{Red^S} did well. After six weeks the average ELISA H reading was 1.40 OD for 1:20,000 dilution with all chickens responding.

Example 14: Immunization of Chicken with O Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, 6 White Leghorn^S approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock O^{immunogen} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug was given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, 5 out of the 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs. ELISA O readings averaged 1.42 OD for 1:10,000 dilution and 0.680OD for 1:50,000 [Leghorn hens did not do as well but all 3 Rhode Island did well.] After six weeks the average ELISA O reading was 1.15 OD for 1:20,000 dilution with still 5 chickens responding.

Example 15: Immunization of Chicken with WC Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, 6 Rhode Island Red^S approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock WC^{immunogen} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last

initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug was given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, 4 out of the 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs. ELISA WC readings averaged 0.95 OD for 1:10,000 dilution and 0.250OD for 1:50,000. After six weeks the average ELISA ^{WC} [O] reading was 0.95 OD for 1:20,000 dilution with still 5 chickens responding.

Example 16: Immunization of Chicken with A Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, 6 White Leghorn₂ approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock A ^{IMMUNOGEN} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug were given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, 5 out of the 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs. ELISA A readings averaged 1.40 OD for 1:10,000 dilution and 0.576 OD for 1:50,000. After six weeks the average ELISA A reading was 1.15 OD for 1:20,000 dilution with still all chickens responding.

Example 17: Immunization of Chicken with P Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, White Leghorn₂ approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock P ^{IMMUNOGEN} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug were given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, 5 out of the 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs.

Example 18: Immunization of Chicken with CS Immunogen []

Six selected egg laying hens, White Leghorn₂ approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock CS ^{IMMUNOGEN} [Immunogen]. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and

250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug was given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, ^{all} ~~All~~ 5 out of 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs.

Example 19: Immunization of Chicken with CA Immunogen^[]

Six selected egg laying hens, Red Sex-Linked Hybrids, approximately 19 weeks old were injected with the stock CA ^{immunogen} ~~Immunogen~~. Four injections (500ug, 100ug, 200ug, and 250ug) were given 1 week apart. A serum sample was collected two weeks after the last initial injection. If boosters were needed, 100ug was given in each booster (every 6 months). Within four weeks, ^{all} ~~All~~ 6 hens produced excellent antibodies in the eggs.

Example 20: Preparation of Stock Production Whole Egg ^{Reagents} ~~reagents~~

Selected hens were combined from all four immunogen groups for E. coli ¹ ~~O~~157:H7 or three immunogen groups for ^[the] anaerobes, to be used to produce production batches of whole egg reagents. Sterling U.S. Patent 5,753,228 presents an excellent review of uses for the selection of eggs and storage of same. The eggs were randomized and shell removed. The whole egg is mixed well and pasteurized using standard conditions (60°C (140°F) for 3.5 ^{minutes} ~~min~~), Charley, H. and C. Weaver, 3rd ^{edition} ~~edition~~, Foods: a scientific approach, Merrill-Prentice Hall, p350, 1998). Once pasteurized, samples were tested for activity and stored at 4° C until dried or sprayed onto carriers. Samples of 250μl were analyzed.

Examples of results for ELISAs are given:

Pasteurized Whole Egg: E. coli^D[O] 57:H7

Immunogen	Dilution	O.D.
WC	500	0.532
WC	2500	0.113
H	500	0.466
H	2500	0.115
O	500	0.338
O	2500	0.128
A	500	0.588
A	2500	0.155

RECEIVED

FEB 04 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Pasteurized Whole Egg: Anaerobes

Immunogen	Dilution	Batch #1	Batch#2	Batch #3
CA	100	0.339	0.275	0.627
CA	500	0.104	0.296	0.201
P	100	0.724	0.882	0.576
P	500	0.248	0.594	0.651
CS	100	0.457	0.268	0.650
CS	500	0.304	0.143	0.476

Example 21: Coating of Feed Additive Carriers

Although whole egg can be dispensed in water supplies, or in a dried format as whole powdered egg, use of a carrier helps distribute the material in a uniform method. This makes it easier for mixing with standard feeds. A number of carriers can be used to provide a vehicle as a feed additive as needed. Soy hulls in crude, refined and pelleted format, rice hulls, corn, cottonseed hulls, distilled dried grains, beet pulp or any other. The production pasteurized whole egg prep is coated on to the carrier and either fed directly to the animals or dried to 10-15% moisture. Approximately 1000ml of whole, pasteurized egg is sprayed on 50lbs of pelleted soybean hulls. The preferred carrier for cattle is pelleted soybean hulls while for young swine the fines from the pelleted soybean hulls. The feed additive is mixed with the standard animal feed. The preferred level is 10-15lbs of feed additive to 2000lbs of animal feed.

Example 22: Analysis of Feed Additive [samples after coating with reagents]

Samples were collected from batches of Feed Additive after they were coated on to the carriers. The samples were analyzed and the results are as follows:

Product Name	Moisture %	Protein %	Fat %	Fiber, crude %
Crude Soybean Hulls, uncoated	11.59	26.76	9.10	18.63
CAMAS EYE 0157 Crude soybean Hulls	12.35	25.67	8.26	19.46
CAMAS EYE * Control Crude Soybean hulls	12.06	24.89	9.92	20.38
Soybean Pellets uncoated	11.65	9.89	2.43	33.47
CAMAS EYE Efficiency Pellets	12.37	10.19	2.57	33.12

* CAMAS EYE identifies inhibitors produced according to the present invention

Example 23: Analysis of Production Eggs over Time: E. coli Q157:H7

Samples of the Whole Egg preparations were analyzed using the ELISA systems for H, O, WC and A immunogens to monitor activity over time after the initial immunization schedule was completed. Selected animals from each group were placed into the Production group. The average ELISA OD readings (Negative subtracted) for the fourth through the sixth months are given in the table below. The eggs were sampled using 250µl of the whole eggs and diluted 1:500 and 1:2500 in PBS buffer and then run in the appropriate ELISA to determine the average OD reading at each dilution. The negative control readings are subtracted from each reading. The immunogens showed different responses in the animals along with good specificity. The A immunogen gave the best responses in these tests. Data for these immunogens over time is given below:

Immuogen	Fourth Month	Fifth Month	Six Month
H: 1:500	0.388	0.848	0.718
1:2500	0.085	0.237	0.195
O: 1:500	0.593	0.792	0.704
1:2500	0.147	0.294	0.184
WC: 1:500	0.398	0.730	0.578
1:2500	0.062	0.273	0.130
A: 1:500	0.700	1.014	0.909
1:2500	0.102	0.305	0.224

Example 24: Analysis of Production Eggs ^{over} Time: Feed Efficiency

Samples of the ^{whole egg} [Whole Egg] preparations were analyzed using the ELISA systems for P, CS, and CA immunogens to monitor activity over time after the initial immunization schedule was completed. Selected animals from each group ^{were} [where] placed into the ^{Production} [Production] group. The average ELISA OD readings for the fourth through the ^{sixth} [six] months are given in the table below. The eggs were sampled using 250 μ l of the whole eggs and diluted 1:500 and 1:2500 in PBS buffer and then run in the appropriate ELISA to determine the average OD reading at each dilution. The negative control readings are subtracted from each reading. The immunogens showed different responses in the animals along with good specificity.

Immuogen	Fourth Month	Fifth Month	Six Month
P: 1:500	1.182OD	1.128OD	0.942OD
1:2500	0.785OD	0.489OD	0.343OD
CS: 1:500	0.843OD	0.989OD	0.582OD
1:2500	0.318OD	0.356OD	0.187OD
CA: 1:500	1.156OD	1.087OD	0.998OD
1:2500	0.409OD	0.282OD	0.507OD

Example 25: Analysis of Feed Additives for Antibody Activity: *E. coli* ⁰157:H7

Samples of the coated hulls were analyzed using the ELISA systems for H, O, WC and A immunogens to monitor activity after pasteurizing, spraying, drying and storage.

production whole egg

Good antibody response was recorded after the processing of the [Production Whole Egg] batches and drying on crude soybean hulls. Data for two batches is given below:

Batch: Coated Hulls	WC Immunogen	H Immuogen	O Immunogen	A immunogen
Batch #1 1:10	0.673 OD	1.103 OD	1.105 OD	1.299 OD
1:100	0.106 OD	0.236 OD	0.229 OD	0.302 OD
Batch #2 1:10	1.174 OD	1.291 OD	1.180 OD	1.224 OD
1:100	0.177 OD	0.396 OD	0.327 OD	0.458 OD

Example 26: Analysis of Feed Additives for Antibody Activity: Feed Efficiency

Samples of the coated hulls were analyzed using the ELISA systems for P, CS, and CA immunogens to monitor activity after pasteurizing, spraying, drying and storage.

production whole egg

Good antibody response was recorded after the processing of the [Production Whole Egg] batches and drying on crude soybean hulls. One gram samples of the 15lbs of coated hulls ^{were} [was] extracted and analyzed. Data for three batches is given in the table below:

Batch: Coated Hulls	P Immunogen	CS Immuogen	CA Immunogen
Batch #1 1:100	0.067OD	0.289OD	0.051OD
1:500	0.057OD	0.131OD	0.037OD
Batch #2 1:100	0.028OD	0.039OD	0.095OD
1:500	0.049OD	0.015OD	0.021OD
Batch#3 1:100	0.046OD	0.115OD	0.136OD
1:500	0.012OD	0.055OD	0.012OD

Example 27: Recovery of Active Antibody and Egg Protein After Feed Mix

Bags of coated soybean refined hulls were coated with the production whole egg reagent containing anti-E. coli ⁰157:H7 adherence inhibitors. One bag of feed additive (15lbs) was added to 2000lbs of ^{standard cattle feed.} [Standard Cattle Feed] ^{feed additive} Control [Feed Additive] was produced with whole eggs from free ranging chickens. Soybean hulls were coated with this preparation and mixed as the ^{test feed additive} [Test Feed Additive] containing the specific antibodies. Samples of the mixed ^{feed} [Feed] were collected and analyzed for active antibody to the ELISA

Egg Protein

WC immunogen as well as a commercial ELISA for detecting Egg Protein in food (Veratox® Quantitative Egg Allergen Test, Neogen). The data is given in the chart below for two batches of feed ration.

Mixed Feed	First Batch	Second Batch
Test Feed-Additive: 1:6000 1:12000	0.172 OD 0.009OD	0.112OD 0.036
Control Feed-No Additive 1:6000 1:12000	0.049 0.005	Neg. Neg.
Test Feed-Additive: Egg Protein	0.958OD 17ppm	1.268OD >20ppm
Control Feed-No Additive: Egg Protein	0.800OD 15 ppm	1.050OD 20ppm

Example 28: Feeding of Cattle

Two groups of cattle were ^{Fed} ~~feed~~ either the ⁰ ~~Q~~157:H7 feed additive (coated onto refined soybean hulls) or control feed additive (coated with control eggs and no specific adherence inhibitors). The animals were ^{Fed} ~~feed~~ at a rate of 15lbs of feed additive per 2000 lbs feed. They ^{averaged} ~~average~~ 10 ^{Lbs} lbs per animal per day. Animals weighed approximately 1000lbs when they started and over 1400 ^{Lbs} when sent to market. All animals looked very healthy with the ^{test} ~~Test~~ animals eating more feed during the 87 days. Five of the test animals were positive during the start of the experiment for E. coli ⁰ ~~Q~~157:H7 and only one of the control animals. Within 30 days on feed additive all test animals were negative for E. coli ⁰ ~~Q~~157:H7 and stayed negative for three consecutive samples over a 30 day period. Standard protocols were followed for sampling. All animals were ear-tagged and placed

in separate pens. Animals were sampled on a weekly basis for the first month and then bi-weekly after that until they were shipped to market. Grab samples were taken from the rectum and placed into sterile labeled bags. All samples were held on ice until processed in the lab. All samples were processed within 4 hours of collection each day. The fecal samples were diluted with TSB with 0.6% yeast extract. Dilutions of the mixture were streaked onto Sorbitol-MacConkey's agar with or without cefixime-tellurite supplement (Dynal®). Colorless colonies are picked for further testing. A latex agglutination test was used to identify *E. coli* serogroup O157 (Oxoid dry Spot™ *E. coli* 0157). If positive, then individual colonies were selected for further isolation on SMC agar streak plates. Isolated colonies were run on the commercial EIA for EH *E. coli* O157 (Binax, NOW® EH *E. coli* O157). Biochemical confirmation can be done with API-20E (Analytab Products). (Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62(7)2567-2570, 1996, J. Clin. Micro. 36(10):3112, 1998).